



Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics University of Colorado **Boulder**



UNIVERSITY of the WESTERN CAPE

SOLSTICE: Seventeen Years, Eighteen Versions

Marty Snow (SANSA, LASP, UWC) Bill McClintock, Tom Woods, Josh Elliott (LASP) msnow@sansa.org.za



Outline

- SOLSTICE Capabilities
- Inflight Calibration Techniques
 - Stellar Measurements
 - FOV Correction
- Final version uncertainties
- Final version results
 - Comparison to other measurements
 - Comparison to models
- Summary





References

- Snow et al. (2021) Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document Post Launch update: SOLar-STellar Irradiance Comparison Experiment (SOLSTICE), Technical Report, University of Colorado Boulder, <u>https://doi.org/10.25810/meav-4g65</u>
- Snow et al. (2022) SOLar-STellar Irradiance Comparison Experiment II (SOLSTICE II): End-of-Mission Validation of the SOLSTICE Technique, Solar Physics, 297,55, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11207-022-01984-9</u>
- Woods et al. (2021) Overview of the Solar Radiation and Climate Experiment (SORCE) Seventeen-Year Mission, Solar Physics, 296, 127, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11207-021-01869-3</u>
- Woods et al. (2022) Solar-Cycle Variability Results from the Solar Radiation and Climate Experiment (SORCE) Mission, Solar Physics, 297, 43, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11207-022-01980-z</u>











Solar Radiation and Climate Experiment (SORCE) 2003-2020





SOLSTICE

- Grating spectrometer
- Two channels:
 - FUV (115-180 nm)
 - MUV (180-300 nm)
- Two redundant instruments
- Spectral resolution: 0.1 nm
- Preflight calibration at SURF
- In-flight calibration using stars







SOLSTICE Measurements





Example Full-resolution spectra



1-nm data product compatible with legacy datasets, but full-resolution spectra are recommended.

Long-term trends are identical. 1-nm product is numerically integrated from high-res





Stellar Observations

Fit After Removing Outliers





Late-mission stellar observations



Battery degradation prevented standard eclipse activity. Stellar degradation trends were extrapolated after 2011.

Late in the mission, two daytime stellar observing campaigns in the FUV confirmed that prior extrapolation was reasonable.

Too few observations to warrant new curve fit.





Summary of Stellar Trending



For clarity, error bars are only included on 2009 curves.





Solar/Stellar Correction (FOV)



Obsenvationationsomer wavelengther begage in 2003 not here wavelengther began beter in the egission. Rational accutes not for your adapter was easing first the presinvices of the universion with the deriver 16.



Needed to revise for DO-Op

- Spacecraft operation starting in 2014 changed dramatically.
- Day Only Operation (DO-Op, Woods et al. 2021)
- Weekly spacecraft slews were no longer possible.
- Replacement operation was to offpoint the spacecraft and take an entire spectrum.
- Con: only sample one spot on the "haystack"
- Pro: measure entire wavelength range rather than 4 or 8 discrete wavelengths





DO-Op trend did not match...



Red dashed line is a fit to the earlier observations.

Does not agree with DO-Op measurements (blue).

Remember: Data was arbitrarily normalized to be unity at the start of the mission. Allowing that scaling factor to be a fitting parameter produces the blue curve that fits all of the data.



Summary for V18



Table 1. Estimates of uncertainty in SOLSTICE degradation correction as a function of time for the MUV channel. The uncertainty in comparing any two SOLSTICE measurements depends on the time interval between them.

Component of degradation Estimated trend uncertainty

Stellar correction	$\sim 0.1\%$ /year
FOV correction	$\sim 0.2\%$ /year
AB-Comparison correction	
(SOLSTICE A MUV Only)	$\sim 0.2\%$ /year
Combined uncertainty:	$\sim 0.3\%$ /year

FUV stability 0.1%/year MUV stability 0.3%/year





Validation of Final Version!





The SIMs



Uncertainty in SOLSTICE stability after 15 years is about 5%, so this agreement is well within the combined uncertainty.

Uncertainty of TSIS/1 SIM on this date is very small.







UV Time Series





Controversy over long term trends early in the SORCE mission has now been reduced due to improved FOV correction.



Comparison to SATIRE-S





SATIRE-S Summary (S³)





Black points are average difference between SOLSTICE and SATIRE-S for the three intervals from the previous slide. Error bars are the standard deviations. The red curve shows the solar cycle variation. Differences are smaller than the SC variation below about 260 nm.



Summary

- SOLSTICE successfully measured UV SSI throughout the SORCE mission
- Version 18 degradation corrections solve the previous controversies
 - Good agreement with simultaneous measurements
 - Good agreement with empirical models
- Results now published!







Thank You



Why make these measurements?





Picard/PREMOS

Ratio to PREMOS 215 nm

SOLSTICE and PREMOS 215 nm Channel 1.4 1.05 SOLSTICE V18 PREMOS 1.2 PREMOS/SOLSTICE (Percent) 1.04 1.0 Normalized Irradiance 1.03 0.8 .02 0.6 0.4 1.01 0.2 1.00 0.0 Mar 2012 Sep 2012 Apr 2013 Nov 2013 May 2014 0.99 E. Jul 2010 Mar 2012 Sep 2012 Aug 2011 Apr 201: **PREMOS SSI Bandpasses** Feb 2011 1.0 0.8 Normalized Response 0.6 0.4 171572 0.2 PREMOS 210 PREMOS 215 PREMOS 266 0.0 ⊑ 180 200 220 240 260 280 300 Wavelength (nm)



SOLAR/SOLSPEC



Ratio of SOLSTICE to "first light" SOLSPEC spectrum April 2008 Red curves mark upper and lower uncertainty envelopes.



Future SOLSTICE Projects

- Progress in Understanding Ultraviolet iRRadiance (PUURR), part of the SIST-3 program.
- Lyman alpha high cadence observations from SORCE SOLSTICE
- Radiation Environment Observed by SORCE SOLSTICE

