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## Overview - What produces the "dip" or "kink"?

- Why do my $\mathrm{CO}^{5}$ BOLD simulations not really match the granulation background in the Sun?
- discrepancy particularly in the dip/kink region around 1 mHz



## Overview - What is the shape of the granulation background?

- "I would like to know the light curve of an individual granule!"
(Thomas Kallinger, Meudon, 2015)


Light curve simulator?

## The dip/kink - interpretation as effect of mesogranulation

- Soho/Virgo photometry: a) SPM-blue, b) SPM-green, c) SPM-red, d) PMO6
- Granulation background (purple dashed lines) result of superposition of two components: granulation and larger-scale mesogranulation



## Fitting results of Michel et al.

| Data set | $A_{1}$ | $B_{1}$ | $A_{2}$ | $B_{2}$ | $D$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\sigma_{A 1}$ | $\sigma_{B 1}$ | $\sigma_{A 2}$ | $\sigma_{B 2}$ | $\sigma_{D}$ |
|  | $\left(\frac{p p m^{2}}{\mu \mathrm{~Hz}}\right)$ | $(\mathrm{s})$ | $\left(\frac{p p m^{2}}{\mu \mathrm{~Hz}}\right)$ | $(\mathrm{s})$ | $\left(\frac{p p m^{2}}{\mu \mathrm{~Hz}}\right)$ |
| SPMb | 1.52 | 1292 | 0.55 | 433 | $410^{-3}$ |
|  | 0.02 | 18 | 0.02 | 12 | $310^{-3}$ |
| SPMg | 0.74 | 1302 | 0.25 | 419 | $110^{-3}$ |
|  | 0.02 | 37 | 0.02 | 27 | $310^{-3}$ |
| SPMr | 0.26 | 1321 | 0.07 | 403 | $110^{-3}$ |
|  | 0.02 | 105 | 0.01 | 89 | $310^{-3}$ |
| PMO6 | 0.50 | 1349 | 0.14 | 439 | $2010^{-3}$ |
|  | 0.02 | 55 | 0.02 | 42 | $310^{-3}$ |

- Background modelled with two super-Lorentzians and noise component

$$
P(\nu)=\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{A_{i}}{1+\left(B_{i} \nu\right)^{4}}+D
$$

- Taking the numbers for PMO6: the granular and mesogranular component contribute approximately equally to the fluctuations in the time domain.


## Is there a depression the velocity power spectrum?



## More aspects

- Kallinger et al. (2014): "depression" seen in other main sequence stars, located around $\nu_{\max } / 2$
- Karoff et al. (2013): interpretation of the high-frequency component of the background as due to faculae
- My take on the interpretation as mesogranulation?

CO ${ }^{5}$ BOLD simulations for solar granulation give a relation between temporal and spatial "contrast" (Ludwig 2006)

$$
l \frac{\sigma_{I}}{\langle I\rangle} \approx 0.4 l_{\mathrm{gran}} \frac{\delta I_{\mathrm{rms}}}{\bar{I}}
$$

$l$ : scale on which the temporal fluctuations are observed, $l_{\text {gran }}$ : scale of granulation

- If mesogranulation is an enlarged version of granulation one would expect that its spatial contrast is about $1 / 10^{\text {th }}$ of the granulation contrast.
Should be visible ...


## Here an image showing mesogranulation and granulation!!!



## Here an image showing mesogranulation and granulation!!!



Made-up of course, contrast rather $15 \%$ for granulation and $5 \%$ for mesogranulation

## What effect causes the dip?

- Robert \& Lionel: dip present in their simulations of long duration without magnetic field
- Remo asked: what duration is necessary to see the solar dip?
- answer (calculated theoretically after a long working day): 3 h for a $1 \sigma$-detection, 27 h for $3 \sigma$
- In any case this means: dip physics accessible with simulations
- Plans for the workshop:
- looking at the structures living at temporal frequencies around 1 mHz
- looking at Soho/Virgo data, including the center-to-limb variation
- Is the dip a consequence of oscillation-convection interaction?
(Robert thinks "no", but why?)


## Lightcurve of an individual granule?

- Regner: Two-sided exponential already most of the answer?
- Serious attempt (mostly by Mia) to isolate and follow granules. However...
- what in fact is a granule?
- how can one track a granule?
- Going simpler: looking at the brightness evolution of a small (granular size) fixed patch
- there are moments of maximum brightness
- quiz: what typically happens at moments of maximum brightness?
- Further thought: disk-integrated brightness is the result of a superposition of many signals having the same probability distribution
- can one exploit the central limit theorem effectively?
- What about the loss of the arrow of time?

Mark: it is just averaging. . .

And now something completely different: granulation in a Cepheid (model)

$$
t \times 10^{6}, \mathrm{~s}
$$



