Convective full-sphere cross-helicity dynamo

V. V. PIPIN¹, N. YOKOI² ¹ISTP, Irkutsk, Russian Academy of Science ²IIS, University of Tokyo



Thanks NAOJ for surpport the Visiting Professorship Program

Contents

Inroduction

- Cross-helicity $\langle \mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{b}\rangle~$, contra/pro for large-scale dynamo
- Observational evidences
- •Mean-field dynamo equations
 - Dynamo scenarios
 - Fully-convective stars
- •Results
 - Eigen-value analysis
 - Nonlinear regimes

•Conclusions

Dynamo equation:

$$\frac{\partial \langle \mathbf{B} \rangle}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\langle \mathbf{U} \rangle \times \langle \mathbf{B} \rangle + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}})$$

Effect of turbulence is represented by the mean electromotive force:

$${oldsymbol {\cal E}}{=}\langle {f u}' imes {f b}'
angle$$

The cross-helicity density is: $\langle \gamma \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}' \cdot \mathbf{b}' \rangle$

Having:
$$(\mathbf{u}' \cdot \mathbf{b}')^2 + (\mathbf{u}' \times \mathbf{b}')^2 = \mathbf{u}'^2 \mathbf{b}'^2$$

The more $\langle \mathbf{u}' \cdot \mathbf{b}' \rangle$ the less $\langle \mathbf{u}' \times \mathbf{b}' \rangle$

Example, nonlinear α effect



Arguments "pro"

(see Yokoi 2013)

The origin of the turbulent generation effects, e.g., $\mathcal{E} = \alpha \mathbf{B} + \dots$ is related to the large-scale parameters: global rotation, stratification, etc.

Amplitude of turbulent generation effects is only a few percents of turbulent energy, i.e., $\alpha \leq 0.1 |\mathbf{u}'|$ Parker(1955)

Thefore the balance
$$\left(\mathbf{u}'\cdot\mathbf{b}'
ight)^2+\left(\mathbf{u}'\times\mathbf{b}'
ight)^2=\mathbf{u}'^2\mathbf{b}'^2$$
 is not critical

In stellar turbulence the $\langle \mathbf{u}' \cdot \mathbf{b}' \rangle$ can be produced without Lorentz force. In this case it contribute to the mean EMF: (Yoshizawa&Yokoi 1996; Yokoi 2013)

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}} = \hat{\alpha} \circ \overline{\mathbf{B}} + \boldsymbol{V}^{(p)} \times \overline{\mathbf{B}} + \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\tau_c} - \hat{\eta} \circ \left(\nabla \times \overline{\mathbf{B}} \right) + \dots$$

Yokoi (2013)



Contribution to the turbulent EMF is parallel to the mean vorticity if the cross helicity is positive in turbulence.

Observations

- Correlation of the magnetic network and supercranulation patterns on the solar surface
- Sunspots

Example of the cross-helicity analysis of Zhao, Wang & Zhang (2014)

 $max (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b}) \sim 10^3 \text{G km/s}$ $|\langle \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b} \rangle| \sim 1 \text{G km/s}$



Substantial part of M-dwarf's is covered by the strong magnetic field



V374 Peg, 0.3Ms rotating with period of a half day, Br~2kG is shown by color

(Donati et al 2009)

Spottness and magnetic filling factor on active stars



Berdyugina (2005)

Filling factors of spots (open symbols) and magnetic fields (filled symbols) on the surfaces of active dwarfs (circles) and giants (squares) versus the photosphere temperature. A big circle emphasises the sunspot umbra (f ?.1%)

From solar-stellar analogy we expect the mean cross-helicity of strength 10³ G km/s (guess!) covering substantial portions of stellar surface.

Cross-helicity evolution:

$$\frac{\partial \langle \gamma \rangle}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{3\overline{\rho}} \left(\langle \mathbf{B} \rangle \cdot \nabla \right) \overline{\rho} \left\langle \mathbf{u'}^2 \right\rangle - 2 \mathbf{\mathcal{E}} \cdot \mathbf{\Omega} + \eta_T \Delta \left\langle \gamma \right\rangle \frac{1}{2} \text{ See, Pipin et al (2011)}}{\frac{1}{2} \text{ excluded}}$$

Decomposition to AX and NAX modes

$$\begin{split} \langle \mathbf{B} \rangle &= \overline{\mathbf{B}} + \tilde{\mathbf{B}} \\ \overline{\mathbf{B}} &= \hat{\phi} \mathbf{B} + \nabla \times \left(\frac{\mathbf{A} \hat{\phi}}{r \sin \theta} \right) \\ \tilde{\mathbf{B}} &= \nabla \times (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{T}) + \nabla \times \nabla \times (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{S}) \,, \\ \langle \gamma \rangle &= \overline{\gamma} + \widetilde{\gamma} \end{split}$$

The non-axisymmetric (NAX) modes are treated via the SPH decomposition (ℓ =20, m=10), nonlinear terms are calculated in real space

Dynamo scenarios

Axysymmetric solar-type:

Coupling by DR and →-effect (Parker,1955):

$$\mathbf{B}^{(P)} \to \mathbf{B}^{(T)} \to \mathbf{B}^{(P)} \dots$$

Coupling by Sa-effect and cross-helicity (Yoshizawa&Yokoi 1996; Yokoi 2013, Yokoi et al 2016, without DR!) :

$$\mathbf{B}^{(P)} \to \langle \gamma \rangle \to \mathbf{B}^{(T)} \to \mathbf{B}^{(P)} \to \langle \gamma \rangle \dots$$

Dynamo scenarios: M-dwarfs

- Coupling by 2^2 -effect, or $2^2\Omega$?
 - In saturated stage (strong B, fast rotation), models gives the solid body rotation and the ∠2 generates nonaxisymmetric B-field because they are unstable prior axisymmetric B-field: Kuker & Ruedeger, 1998; Elstner D., Rudiger G., 2007, the DNS Dobler et al 2006, Browning 2008).
 - [•] The origin of the strong dipolar **B**-field (like V374 Peg) is not clear.
- New scenario: coupling by γ^2 -effect.

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{(P)} \to \tilde{\gamma} \to \begin{cases} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{(P)} & \to \tilde{\gamma} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{(T)} & \\ \overline{\mathbf{B}}^{(T)} & \\ \overline{\mathbf{B}}^{(T)} & \text{(nonlinear)} \end{cases}.$$

• The other are $2\gamma^2 \gamma^2$ and $2\gamma^2 \Omega$:

M dwarf of 0.3Ms, rotating with period of 10 days

The reference model of the star is from MESA code (mesa.sourceforge.net)

Effect of rotation on the enthropy profile is calculated from mean-field model of the angular momentum and heat transport (Pipin, 2017).



Boundary conditions, zero **B** and γ near the center.

At the top zero $\mathbf{B}^{(T)}$ and $\partial_r \mathcal{Y}$,

Outside the star PSSF with $R_{ss}=2R_{\odot}$

Model of global flows



Linear stability of $\sum^2 y^2$ and y^2

Solid body rotation of MKS14, constant parameters along

radius, $C_h = \Omega^* C_{\gamma}$, $\tau_c = 1 \text{ yr}$



S0 -symmetric about equator m=0 mode,

A0 - antisymmetric about equator m=0 mode

The non-axisymmetric modes are most unstable both in $\sum^2 \gamma^2$ and γ^2 regimes

Note that Pm_{τ} controls cross-helicity production:

 $\frac{\partial \langle \gamma \rangle}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{3\overline{\rho}} \left(\langle \mathbf{B} \rangle \cdot \nabla \right) \overline{\rho} \left\langle \mathbf{u}^2 \right\rangle$

Linear stability of $\[mu]_2 \gamma^2 \Omega$

DR of star rotating with period of 10 days (MKS14) other parameters are constant.



Thresholds of non-axisymmetric and axisymmetric modes are getting close with increase of Pm_{T}

Nonlinear regime regime



Figure 3. The model M0: (a) evolution of the mean strength of the first five partial modes of the toroidal magnetic field at the $r = \frac{3}{4}R_{\star}$; (b) snapshot of the large-scale magnetic field lines out of the star and the background image shows the radial magnetic field within range of ± 1500 G.

Nonlinear regime γ^2





 γ^2



Nonlinear regime $rgime 2\gamma^2$







-4000	-2000	Ó	2000	4000
γ , [G km/S]				

View from the pole







Discussion

For regime of the fast rotation there is no α effect for B-field parallel to the axis of rotation. This is because of anisotropy of convection motions.

$$\alpha_{ij} \sim \alpha_0 \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{\Omega_i \Omega_j}{\Omega^2} \right)$$

Ruediger&Kitchatinov, 1993; Kitchatinov et al 2001

In this case only non-axisymmetric B-field can use the $\alpha\,$ effect for dynamo.





It remains efficient even for the case of 2d state of turbulent flow in the fast rotating regime.

For overcritical C_{γ} the axisymmetric dynamo works via:

$$\mathbf{B}^{(P)} \to \langle \gamma \rangle \to \mathbf{B}^{(T)} \to \mathbf{B}^{(P)} \to \langle \gamma \rangle \dots$$



Conclusions

- Cross-helicity effects provide several new scenarios for dynamo on the fully-convective stars
- For a particular case of the fast rotating stars with solid body rotation regime we show a possibility to sustain the strong dipolar B-field via $\sum^2 \gamma^2$ dynamo.
- Generation of axisimmetric toroidal magnetic field from non-axisymmetric cross-helicity with $\overline{y} = 0$, potentially can be important in solar dynamo, in particullar for the young spotted Sun